

ON THE UPPER BOUND OF THE MULTIPLICITY CONJECTURE

TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL

Dedicated to Juergen Herzog on the occasion of his 65th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let $A = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and let I be a graded ideal in A . We show that the upper bound of Multiplicity conjecture of Herzog, Huneke and Srinivasan holds asymptotically (i.e., for I^k and all $k \gg 0$) if I belongs to any of the following large classes of ideals:

- (1) radical ideals.
 - (2) monomial ideals with generators in different degrees.
 - (3) zero-dimensional ideals with generators in different degrees.
- Surprisingly, our proof uses local techniques like analyticity, reductions, equimultiplicity and local results like Rees's theorem on multiplicities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field and $A = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring with standard grading. Let I be a graded ideal of A . Let

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{p,j}(A/I)} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{1,j}(A/I)} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

be a minimal graded free resolution of A/I . Set $p = \text{projdim } A/I$ and $c = \text{height } I$. Consider for $1 \leq i \leq p$ the numbers

$$M_i(A/I) = \max\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \beta_{i,j}(A/I) \neq 0\} \text{ \& } m_i(A/I) = \min\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \beta_{i,j}(A/I) \neq 0\}.$$

Let $e(A/I)$ denote the multiplicity of A/I . Set

$$L(I) = \frac{1}{c!} \prod_{i=1}^c m_i(A/I) \quad \text{and} \quad U(I) = \frac{1}{c!} \prod_{i=1}^c M_i(A/I).$$

The conjecture of Herzog, Huneke and Srinivasan states that

Conjecture 1.1. *If A/I is Cohen-Macaulay then*

$$L(I) \leq e(A/I) \leq U(I).$$

If A/I is not Cohen-Macaulay then in [10] it is conjectured that

Conjecture 1.2.

$$e(A/I) \leq U(I).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13H15, 13D02 ; Secondary 13D40, 13A30.

Key words and phrases. multiplicity conjecture, regularity, reduction, analyticity.

The author thanks Universität Duisburg-Essen for hospitality during Nov-Dec 2006. The author thanks DFG for financial support, which made this visit possible.

Both Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 have been proved for many classes of ideals (see [6, 9, 10, 11, 14, 17, 20, 22, 24]). For extensions of this conjecture see [15, 16, 18, 23, 25]. For some new approaches to this problem see [1, 5, 7, 15, 16]. Our result is

Theorem 1.3. *Let I be a graded ideal. If I belongs to any of the following classes of ideals*

- (1) *radical ideals.*
- (2) *monomial ideals with generators in different degrees.*
- (3) *zero-dimensional ideals with generators in different degrees.*

Then $e(A/I^k) \leq U(I^k)$ for all $k \gg 0$.

In [11, Theorem 2], the authors show $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e(A/I^k)/U(I^k) \leq 1$. There are examples where $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e(A/I^k)/U(I^k) = 1$, for instance see Section 4. In our proof we show that in the class of ideals of Theorem 1.3 we have that the limit on the left hand side is < 1 . The surprising feature of our proof is the use of local techniques like equimultiplicity, reductions analyticity and local theorems like Rees multiplicity theorem (see 2.2).

Overview of the paper. In section two we introduce notation and discuss a few preliminary facts that we need. In section three we prove Theorem 1.3. In section 4 we give an example of a class of ideals which satisfy $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e(A/I^k)/U(I^k) = 1$.

Acknowledgment: Its a pleasure to thank Prof. J. Herzog for many discussions regarding this paper. I also thank the referee for careful reading.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some notions in local algebra. We also discuss asymptotic behavior of regularity of ideals I^k for $k \gg 0$. Finally we also recall that the function $k \mapsto e(A/I^k)$ is polynomial in k for $k \gg 0$.

• Some local notions:

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and residue field $K = R/\mathfrak{m}$ which, for convenience, we assume is infinite. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in R . If M is a finitely generated R -module then $\mu(M)$ denotes its minimal number of generators and $\ell(M)$ denotes its length.

2.1. The *analytic spread* of $\mathfrak{a}$ is the Krull dimension of the fiber-cone $F(\mathfrak{a}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{a}^n / \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{a}^n$. We denote it by $s(\mathfrak{a})$. By [19, p. 150, Th. 1], $s(\mathfrak{a}) = \mu(\mathfrak{b})$ where $\mathfrak{b}$ is a (any) minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{a}$. For definition of reduction and minimal reduction see [19, p. 146]. It can be shown that $\text{height}(\mathfrak{a}) \leq s(\mathfrak{a})$, see [19, p. 151, L. 4]. We say $\mathfrak{a}$ is an *equimultiple* ideal if $\text{height}(\mathfrak{a}) = s(\mathfrak{a})$. If R is quasi-unmixed then $\mathfrak{a}$ is equimultiple if and only if $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{a}} R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{a}^n / \mathfrak{a}^{n+1}$, the associated graded ring of $\mathfrak{a}$, has a homogeneous system of parameters, see [8, 2.6].

2.2. If $\mathfrak{a}$ is $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary then let $e(\mathfrak{a}, R)$ = multiplicity of R with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ i.e.,

$$e(\mathfrak{a}, R) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d!}{n^d} \ell \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{a}^n} \right).$$

Let $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ be $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary. Clearly $e(\mathfrak{b}, R) \geq e(\mathfrak{a}, R)$. It is easy to see that if $\mathfrak{b}$ is a reduction of $\mathfrak{a}$ then $e(\mathfrak{b}, R) = e(\mathfrak{a}, R)$. A celebrated theorem due to Rees [21] shows that if R is quasi-unmixed and $e(\mathfrak{b}, R) = e(\mathfrak{a}, R)$ then $\mathfrak{b}$ is a reduction of $\mathfrak{a}$.

• Asymptotic behavior of regularity:

Let $A = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Let I be a graded ideal in A and let $p = \text{projdim } A/I$. Then

$$\text{reg}(I) = \max\{M_{i+1}(A/I) - i \mid i = 0, \dots, p-1\}$$

is the regularity of I . Set $\text{reg}_i(I) = M_{i+1}(A/I) - i$ for $i = 0, \dots, p-1$.

2.3. In [4, 2.4] and [12, 1] it is shown that $\text{reg}(I^k) = qk + r$ for $k \gg 0$. In [12, 5] it is shown that I has a reduction J such that $\text{reg}_0(J) = q$. In particular J is generated in degrees $\leq q$. We call such a reduction to be a *Kodiyalam reduction*.

2.4. In [11, 2.1(ii)] it is proved that for $i = 0, \dots, c-1$,

$$\text{reg}_i(I^k) = qk + r_i \quad \text{for all } k \gg 0.$$

Therefore for $k \gg 0$,

$$U(I^k) = \frac{1}{c!} \prod_{i=1}^c M_i(A/I^k) = \frac{q^c}{c!} k^c + \dots + \text{lower terms in } k$$

•. The function $k \mapsto e(A/I^k)$.

2.5. Let $\text{Assh}(I) = \{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid \mathfrak{P} \supseteq I \text{ and } \dim A/\mathfrak{P} = \dim A/I\}$. Notice that all $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Assh}(I)$ are graded ideals. The associativity formula of multiplicity ([2, 4.7.8]) then shows that

$$(2.1) \quad e(A/I) = \sum_{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Assh}(I)} \ell(A_{\mathfrak{P}}/I_{\mathfrak{P}}) e(A/\mathfrak{P}).$$

Since $\text{Assh}(I^k) = \text{Assh}(I)$ for all $k \geq 1$ we have that

$$(2.2) \quad e(A/I^k) = \sum_{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Assh}(I)} \ell(A_{\mathfrak{P}}/I_{\mathfrak{P}}^k) e(A/\mathfrak{P}).$$

Recall that $c = \text{height}(I)$. Since $k \mapsto \ell(A_{\mathfrak{P}}/I_{\mathfrak{P}}^k)$ is a polynomial function of degree c it follows that $k \mapsto e(A/I^k)$ is a polynomial function of degree c . Furthermore if $E(I)$ is the normalized leading coefficient of this function then

$$(2.3) \quad E(I) = \sum_{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Assh}(I)} e(I_{\mathfrak{P}}, A_{\mathfrak{P}}) e(A/\mathfrak{P}).$$

Remark 2.6. Let $J \subseteq I$ be a graded ideal. If J is a reduction of I then $J_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is a reduction of $I_{\mathfrak{P}}$ for all primes $\mathfrak{P}$. So $E(J) = E(I)$.

2.7. By 2.4 and 2.5 we get that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e(A/I^k)}{U(I^k)} = \frac{E(I)}{q^c}$$

Here q is as in 2.3.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section we prove our result. We use [11, Theorem 2], where it is proved that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e(A/I^k)/U(I^k) \leq 1$. In our proof we show that in the class of ideals of Theorem 1.3 we have that the limit on the left hand side is < 1 . Throughout this section q is as in 2.3.

3.1. In [11, section 2] the authors assume K is infinite and then do the following:

- Let J be a Kodiyalam reduction of I and let $f_1, \dots, f_c \in J_q$ be c -generic q -forms. Set $L = (f_1, \dots, f_c)$.
- $e(A/L) = E(L) = q^c$.
- $E(I) \leq E(L)$.

The following observation is useful:

Observation 3.2. (1) In 3.1, an ideal $L = (f_1, \dots, f_c)$ where $f_1, \dots, f_c \in J_q$ is a regular sequence will do. In fact in [11, section 2] it is chosen generic just to ensure $f_1, \dots, f_c$ is a regular sequence.

(2) We may choose $f_1 \in J_q$ to be any non-zero element.

To prove $E(I) < E(L)$ the following remark is useful:

Remark 3.3. L is unmixed. Also $\text{height } I = \text{height } L = c$. Thus $\text{Assh}(I) \subseteq \text{Assh}(A/L) = \text{Min}(A/L)$; the set of minimum primes of L . So to prove $E(I) < E(L)$, it suffices to show that there exists $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Assh}(I)$ such that $e(I_{\mathfrak{P}}, A_{\mathfrak{P}}) < e(L_{\mathfrak{P}}, A_{\mathfrak{P}})$.

We now give

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We prove that for each of the class of ideals considered we have $E(I) < E(L) = q^c$. We also assume K is infinite. This follows from the usual standard trick in the case when K is finite.

Case 1: I is a radical ideal. In this case we

Claim: $E(I) = e(A/I)$.

Let $I = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_s$ be a minimal irredundant primary decomposition of I . Set $\mathfrak{P}_i = \sqrt{Q_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Let $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Assh}(I)$. Then $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}_i$ for some i . As I is a radical ideal we have $I_{\mathfrak{P}} = \mathfrak{P}A_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Notice $A_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is a regular local ring of dimension c . So

$$\begin{aligned} e(I_{\mathfrak{P}}, A_{\mathfrak{P}}) &= e(\mathfrak{P}A_{\mathfrak{P}}, A_{\mathfrak{P}}) = 1, \\ \ell(A_{\mathfrak{P}}/I_{\mathfrak{P}}) &= \ell(A_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathfrak{P}A_{\mathfrak{P}}) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by 2.5(1) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(I) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Assh}(I)} e(I_{\mathfrak{P}}, A_{\mathfrak{P}}) e(A/\mathfrak{P}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Assh}(I)} e(A/\mathfrak{P}) \\ e(A/I) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Assh}(I)} \ell(A_{\mathfrak{P}}/I_{\mathfrak{P}}) e(A/\mathfrak{P}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Assh}(I)} e(A/\mathfrak{P}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $E(I) = e(A/I)$.

Set $\mathfrak{m} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Notice that $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a radical ideal of $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Subcase 1: *The ideal $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is equimultiple.* Then by a result due to Cowsik and Nori [3] we have that $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is generated by a regular sequence. Since I is graded it follows that I is also generated by a regular sequence. In this case by [9] we have that $e(A/I^k) \leq U(I^k)$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Subcase 2: *$I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is not equimultiple.* Let L be as in 3.1. Then L is not a reduction of I . (Otherwise $L_{\mathfrak{m}}$ will be a reduction of $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and this will imply that $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is equimultiple.)

In particular $L \neq I$. Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{I}{L} \longrightarrow \frac{A}{L} \longrightarrow \frac{A}{I} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $I \neq L$ we have that $(I/L)_{\mathfrak{P}} \neq 0$ for some $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Ass}(A/L) = \text{Min}(A/L)$. In particular $\dim I/L = \dim A/L = \dim A/I$. It follows that

$$E(L) = e(A/L) = e(A/I) + e(I/L) > e(A/I) = E(I).$$

This implies the result in this case.

Case 2: *I is a monomial ideal with generators in different degrees.*

Let $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Assh}(I)$. As I is a monomial ideal, $\mathfrak{P}$ is generated by a subset of the variables [2, 4.4.15]. Say $\mathfrak{P} = (X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_s})$. Let $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_a\}$ be the unique set of minimal monomial generators of I . Assume $\deg u_1 < q$. Set $\alpha = q - \deg u_1$. Set $f_1 = X_{i_1}^\alpha u_1$ and let $L = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_c)$ (see 3.2(2)). As $f_1 \in \mathfrak{P}I_{\mathfrak{P}}$, it follows that $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is not a minimal reduction of $I_{\mathfrak{P}}$ [19, Lemma 2]. Therefore by Rees's theorem $e(L_{\mathfrak{P}}, A_{\mathfrak{P}}) > e(I_{\mathfrak{P}}, A_{\mathfrak{P}})$. So by 3.3 we get $E(L) > E(I)$.

Case 3: *I is a zero-dimensional ideal with generators in different degrees.*

Notice that in this case $\text{Assh}(I) = \{(X_1, \dots, X_n)\}$. The proof is similar to case 2. $\square$

Remark 3.4. For cases 2 and 3 in our theorem note that the ideal can never have a pure resolution. Notice also that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{U(I^k) - e(A/I^k)\} = \infty$. This gives further evidence of the “improved” multiplicity conjectures that suggest that Cohen-Macaulay ideals with pure resolutions are the only ones for which the bounds are sharp.

4. AN EXAMPLE

In [11], the authors state that its easy to construct examples of ideals with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e(A/I^k)/U(I^k) = 1$. For sake of completeness we give a large class of ideals where $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e(A/I^k)/U(I^k) = 1$. The notation will be as in section 3. Set $\mathfrak{m} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

4.1. Let $q \geq 2$ and let $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^q$ be a zero-dimensional ideal generated by q -forms. It is easily verified that $\text{reg}(I^k) = qk + r$ for $k \gg 0$ (use [4, 3.2]). Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in I$ be any regular sequence of q -forms. Set $L = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Notice $e(L_{\mathfrak{m}}, A_{\mathfrak{m}}) = q^n = e(\mathfrak{m}^q, A_{\mathfrak{m}})$. So by a theorem of Rees (see 2.2), $L_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}^q A_{\mathfrak{m}}$. It follows that L is a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}^q$. Therefore L is also a reduction of I . By 2.6 we get that $E(I) = E(L) = q^c$. So by 2.7 we get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e(A/I^k)/U(I^k) = 1$.

Remark 4.2. We do not know as yet whether upper bound of multiplicity conjecture holds asymptotically for all ideals in the class described in 4.1.

REFERENCES

1. M. Boij and J. Söderberg, *Graded Betti numbers of Cohen-Macaulay modules and the Multiplicity conjecture*. Preprint 2006.
2. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Revised edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1998).
3. R. C. Cowsik and M. V. Nori, *On the fibres of blowing up*. J. Indian Math. Soc. **40** (1976), no. 1-4, 217–222.
4. D. Cutkosky, J. Herzog and N.V. Trung, Asymptotic behavior of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, *Compositio Math.* **118** (1999), no. 3, 243–261.
5. C.A. Francisco, *New approaches to bounding the multiplicity of an ideal*. J. Algebra **299**, No. 1, 309–328 (2006).
6. L.H. Gold, *A degree bound for codimension two lattice ideals*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **182**, No.2-3, 201–207 (2003).

7. L. Gold, H. Schenck and H. Srinivasan, *Betti Numbers and Degree Bounds for Some Linked Zero-Schemes*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **210**, 481–491 (2007).
8. U. Grothe, M. Herrmann and U. Orbanz, *Graded Cohen-Macaulay rings associated to equi-multiple ideals*. Math. Z. **186**, no. 4, 531–556 (1984).
9. E. Guardo and A. Van Tuyl, *Powers of complete intersections: Graded Betti numbers and applications*. Ill. J. Math. **49**, No. 1, 265–279 (2005).
10. J. Herzog and H. Srinivasan, *Bounds for multiplicities*. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **350**, No.7, 2879–2902 (1998).
11. J. Herzog and X. Zheng, *Notes on the multiplicity conjecture*. Collect. Math. **57**, No. 2, 211–226 (2006).
12. V. Kodiyalam, *Asymptotic behavior of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 2, 407–411
13. H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*. Cambridge University Press **39**, Cambridge,(1986).
14. J. Migliore, U. Nagel and T. Roemer, *The multiplicity conjecture in low codimensions*. Math. Res. Lett. **12**, No. 5–6, 731–747 (2005).
15. J. Migliore, U. Nagel and T. Roemer, *Extensions of the multiplicity conjecture*. To appear in Trans. Am. Math. Soc.
16. J. Migliore, U. Nagel and F. Zanello, *An improved Multiplicity Conjecture for codimension three Gorenstein algebras*. Preprint 2006.
17. R.M. Miró-Roig, *A note on the multiplicity of determinantal ideals*. J. Algebra **299**, No. 2, 714–724 (2006).
18. R.M. Miró-Roig, *Betti numbers of determinantal ideals*. Preprint 2007.
19. D. G. Northcott and D. Rees, *Reduction of ideals in local rings*, Proc. Cambridge. Philos. Soc **50** (1954), 145–158.
20. I. Novik and E. Swartz, *Face ring multiplicity via CM-connectivity sequences*. Preprint 2006.
21. D. Rees, *a-transform of local rings and a theorem on multiplicities of ideals*, Proc. Cambridge Philos Soc. (2) **57** (1961) 8–17.
22. T. Roemer, *Note on bounds for multiplicities*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **195**, No. 1, 113–123 (2005).
23. T. Roemer, *Betti numbers and shifts in minimal graded free resolutions*. Preprint 2007.
24. H. Srinivasan, *A note on the multiplicities of Gorenstein algebras*. J. Algebra **208**, No.2, 425–443 (1998).
25. F. Zanello, *Improving the bounds in the Multiplicity Conjecture: the codimension 3 level case*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **209** , no. 1, 79–89 (2007).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI 400 076
E-mail address: tputhen@math.iitb.ac.in